Division of Public Health Administrative Assessment SFY: 14-15 Health Department:								
Date of Review: Administrative Consultant:								
	ff Time Documentation/Exper	-						
				Compare expenditure documentation				
wit	h WIRM Monthly Expenditure	Report req	uested for reviev	N.				
1.			ne time records (	detailed enough to document the				
	expenditures charged to each	•						
	Family Planning   Yes		Immunization					
	Maternal Health □ Yes		STD	□ Yes □ No				
	Child Health    Yes	□ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No				
2.	Did direct service staff recor	d time base	ed on their actua	Il work activity?				
	Family Planning	□ No	Immunization	□ Yes □ No				
	Maternal Health   Yes	□ No	STD	□ Yes □ No				
	Child Health   Yes	□ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No				
	NAZO A I INC. IP CONT. IP CONT. CONT. INC.	1						
3.	Was the direct time spent by							
	, 0	□ No	Immunization					
	Maternal Health □ Yes Child Health □ Yes		STD TB	□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No				
	Cilia Health 1 1es		16	_ Tes _ NO				
4.	Was the percentage of time and fringe benefits by activi		ach activity appli	ied to the employee's gross salary				
	Family Planning   Yes	•	Immunization	□ Yes □ No				
	Maternal Health		STD	□ Yes □ No				
	Child Health ☐ Yes		ТВ	□ Yes □ No				
5.	Was all administrative time:		ne method being	g used)				
	a. Allocated to the General	_		_				
	b. Allocated to the actual ti		•					
				ch activity by direct service staff?				
	1. Was the appropriate	e starr bein	g spread across	all activities? □ Yes □ No				
6.	Was the salary expense repo	orted on th	e DHHS WIRM E	xpenditure Report based on				
	documentation from the St							
	Family Planning	□ No	Immunization	□ Yes □ No				
	Maternal Health	□ No	STD	□ Yes □ No				
	Child Health    Yes	□ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No				

xpenditure re sufficie la No re Women traceptive les ID No re Out of Wose? Exposition I age No re local age No	e Report f nt docum 's Health S s? Expend Vedlock Bi Denditures ncy baland	or the mentation Service Folitures were received in	Funds expervere review ention Funderviewed for	, 20 expenditures anded for the ed for SFY 1 ds (TANF) ex SFY 14-15.	o1 for the mode purchase of 4-15. pended for ort with the	reported on the expenditunth in review?  of long term, review ran allowable  eir monthly Ger  iew?	ures). versible
traceptive s	s? Expend Vedlock Bi Denditures Incy baland	rth Prev s were re ce their	vere review ention Fund eviewed for WIRM Expe	ed for SFY 1 ds (TANF) ex SFY 14-15. enditure Rep	ort with the	r an allowable eir monthly Ger	
e Out of Wose? Expose No  cal expending No al agency they man	ncy baland	s were re	eviewed for WIRM Expe	SFY 14-15. Inditure Rep	ort with the	eir monthly Ger	neral
No cal expend No al agency they man	litures ent	ered in	·			·	neral
No al agency they man			the WIRM f	or the fiscal	year in revi	iew?	
they man	program r						
No	age?	nanager	s participat	e in budget	planning an	nd review for th	е
ome (All It	ems Funding	Conditions	·)				
rograms in Planning al Health	n accordai Yes  Yes	nce with   No  No	the County Immuni	r Fiscal Act? zation □ Y □ Y	es □ No ′es □ No	ended for public	;
Planning al Health	□ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No		ization 🗆 🗅	Yes □ No Yes □ No	ed by payment s	ource?
	Planning al Health ealth	Planning	Planning	Planning	el Health	Planning   Yes   No   Immunization   Yes   No   Al Health   Yes   No   STD   Yes   No   Palth   Yes   No   TB   Yes   No   No   Palth   Yes   No   TB   Yes   No   Planning   Yes   No   Immunization   Yes   No   No   Al Health   Yes   No   STD   Yes   No   No   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   No   Yes   Yes   No   Yes   Yes   No   Yes   Yes   No   Yes   Yes	Planning   Yes   No   Immunization   Yes   No   Al Health   Yes   No   STD   Yes   No   Immunization   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   No   Yes   Yes   No   Yes   Yes   No   Yes   Ye

expen	3. Were unexpended balances of all program income carried forward and available for expenditure in subsequent fiscal years?						
Family	Planning	□ Yes	□ No	Immunization	□ Yes □ No		
Mater	nal Health	□ Yes	□ No	STD	□ Yes □ No		
Child F	lealth	□ Yes	□ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No		
C. Patient	Patient Eligibility/Financial Policies and Procedures (All Items Funding Conditions)						
	1. Were there financial eligibility requirements established for clients to be eligible to rec program services?						
Family	/ Planning	□ Yes	□ No	Immunization	□ Yes □ No		
Mater	nal Health	□ Yes	□ No	STD	□ Yes □ No		
Child	Health	□ Yes	□ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No		
Res	Local pol	icy deci	ofor progra sion for MI FP, STD, T	H and CH	al eligibility requirements.		
2. Were	financial req	uiremen	nts for this p	program docume	ented in written policies?		
Family	/ Planning	N/A		Immunization	N/A		
Mater	nal Health	□ Yes	□ No	STD	N/A		
	. 1.1	- V	- NI-				
Child	Health	□ Yes	□ NO	ТВ	N/A		
					N/A m requirements?		
3. Did th					·		
3. Did th	e financial eli	gibility s N/A	scale meet	the state progra	m requirements?		
3. Did th Family Mater	e financial eli  / Planning	gibility s N/A	scale meet	the state progra Immunization	m requirements? N/A		
3. Did the Family Mater Child	e financial eli / Planning rnal Health	gibility s N/A Yes  Yes	cale meet  No No	the state progra Immunization STD TB	m requirements? N/A N/A		
3. Did the Family Mater Child	ne financial eli / Planning rnal Health Health d Eligibility/ R	gibility s N/A  Yes  Yes	ccale meet :  □ No □ No □ No	the state programmunization STD TB  Inding Conditions)	m requirements? N/A N/A		
3. Did the Family Mater Child Medicaid	ne financial eli / Planning rnal Health Health d Eligibility/ R	gibility s N/A  Yes  Yes	cale meet · □ No □ No □ No  y (All Items Fu	the state programmunization STD TB  Inding Conditions)	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  O apply for Medicaid?		
<ol> <li>Did the Family Mater Child</li> <li>Medicaid</li> <li>Were Family</li> </ol>	e financial eli Planning nal Health Health d Eligibility/ R	gibility s N/A Yes Yes sesidence esting p Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ V (All Items Furogram ser	the state progra Immunization STD TB nding Conditions) vices required to Immunization	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  O apply for Medicaid?		
3. Did the Family Mater Child Section 1. Were Family Mater	ne financial eli	gibility s N/A Yes Yes Residence esting p Yes Yes	□ No □ No □ No □ V (All Items Furogram ser	the state progra Immunization STD TB nding Conditions) vices required to Immunization	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  o apply for Medicaid?  u Yes u No		
<ul> <li>3. Did the Family Mater Child</li> <li>Medicaid</li> <li>1. Were Family Mater Child</li> </ul>	ne financial eli	gibility s N/A Yes Yes estidence esting p Yes Yes Yes Yes	cale meet	the state programmunization STD TB  Inding Conditions)  Vices required to Immunization STD TB	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  O apply for Medicaid?  O Yes O NO  O Yes O NO  O Yes O NO		
3. Did the Family Mater Child 1. Were Family Mater Child 2. Were	ne financial eli	gibility s N/A Yes Yes Residence esting p Yes Yes Yes Yes	Cale meet  No No (All Items Furogram ser) No No No	the state program Immunization STD TB  Inding Conditions) Evices required to Immunization STD	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  apply for Medicaid?  Yes   No  Yes   No  Yes   No  Services?		
3. Did the Family Mater Child 1. Were Family Mater Child 2. Were Family	e financial eli / Planning rnal Health Health  d Eligibility/ R persons requ / Planning rnal Health Health	gibility s N/A Yes Yes Residence esting p Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Cale meet  No No (All Items Furogram ser) No No No	the state programing conditions)  rvices required to Immunization STD TB  TB  receive program	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  N/A  apply for Medicaid?  Yes   No  Yes   No  Yes   No  Services?		
<ol> <li>Did the Family Mater Child</li> <li>Medicaid</li> <li>Were Family Mater Child</li> <li>Were Family Mater Child</li> </ol>	ne financial eli	gibility s N/A Yes Yes esting p Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	cale meet	the state program Immunization STD TB  Inding Conditions)  Evices required to Immunization STD TB  Teceive program Immunization	m requirements?  N/A  N/A  N/A  D apply for Medicaid?  Yes   No  Yes   No  Yes   No  Yes   No  Yes   No		

D.	. Medicaid Eligibility/ Residency (continued)							
	1.	Are program services available to county residents only?						
		Family Planning	□ Yes □ No	Immunization	□ Yes □ No			
		Maternal Health	□ Yes □ No	STD	□ Yes □ No			
		Child Health	□ Yes □ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No			
	2. Was the local agency's residency policy in compliance with state program requirements?							
		Family Planning			□ Yes □ No			
		Maternal Health			□ Yes □ No			
		Child Health	□ Yes □ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No			
E	. Pa	tient Fees (All Items F	unding Conditions Except I	Item 4 is a Recommend	lation Items 6,7,13,14,15,18 Comments for FP)			
	1	Is the Economic II	nit the method of i	ncome collection	used to determine financial eligibility?			
			□ Yes □ No	Immunization	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
			□ Yes □ No	STD	N/A			
		Child Health	□ Yes □ No	TB	N/A			
	2.	•	arged fees for progr					
		Family Planning	□ Yes □ No	Immunization	□ Yes □ No			
		Maternal Health	□ Yes □ No	STD	□ Yes □ No			
		Child Health	□ Yes □ No	ТВ	□ Yes □ No			
	3. Was the local agency schedule of fees approved by the Board of Heath and County Commissioners? ☐ Yes ☐ No							
	4.	Did the patient fee inability to pay?	policy include the s	statement that se	ervices will not be denied based on			
	5.	Is the Patient Fee ar  ☐ Yes ☐ No	nd Eligibility Policy i	reviewed and rev	rised if necessary, on an annual basis?			
		•	•		edule comply with the requirements ptive drugs/methods.			
7	S	Vere patient fees fo ervices? □ Yes □ No	r program services	equal to or great	er than the Medicaid rate for			
8		patient fees were g Yes □ No	reater than those p	aid by Medicaid,	was there a cost basis for higher fees?			

E. Pa	tient Fees (continued)
9.	Were patient charges adjusted based on family size and income? $\hfill\Box$ Yes $\hfill\Box$ No
10	. Were fees for Family Planning services assessed using the sliding fee scale between 101-250%? □ Yes □ No
11	. Were third parties that were authorized or legally obligated to pay for clients at or below 100% of the Federal Poverty Level Billed properly? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No
	a. Did third party bills show charges without any discounts? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No
12	<ul> <li>Were there policies in place that substantiate clients are not being charged more in Copayments, deductibles, or other fees, than they should pay according to the sliding fee scale?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
13.	For the purpose of determining Family Planning charges, were all individuals requesting confidential services considered a household of one?
14.	Was "Confidential Patient" documented on the financial eligibility forms of patients who requested confidential Family Planning services?
15.	Were fees imposed on persons or their families whose incomes fall within the "no pay" category?  Maternal Health
16.	Were there minimum administrative or other flat rate fees applied without discrimination to all patients?   □ Yes □ No
17.	Does the agency policy demonstrate reasonable efforts to collect charges without jeopardizing client confidentiality?
18.	Did the agency have a policy addressing client donations? □ Yes □ No
19.	Was there a schedule of donations, bills for donations, or any other implied coercion for donations? $\ \square$ Yes $\ \square$ No
20.	Did the Patient Fee Policy state that the Health Director has the right to waive fees for individuals who for a good cause are unable to pay? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Ε.	Pati	ient Fees (continued)
	21.	Is client income re-evaluated on an annual basis? □ Yes □ No
	22.	Did the patient Fee Policy state that income information reported for Family Planning financial eligibility screening can be used through other programs offered in the agency, rather than to re-verify income or rely solely on the client's self-report?  □ Yes □ No
	23.	Were the patient financial records reviewed in compliance with state program requirements? □ Yes □ No
F.	Bill	ing/Accounts Receivable (Items 2, 13, & 15 are Funding Conditions. All others are Recommendations.
	1.	What accounts receivable system does the local agency use?
	2.	Did the local agency bill Medicaid and all other third party payers?   □ Yes □ No
	3.	Review the written policy for handling denied claims, Medicaid and all other. Is the procedure appropriate? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
	4.	Who in the local agency (position title) is responsible for finalizing the record before billing is done?
	5.	Review one Medicaid denied claims report for SFY under review. Examine three denials on the report. Were denied claims rebilled when appropriate? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No
	6,	Who in the agency (position title) is responsible for interpretation of Medicaid bulletins and other Medicaid Billing policy?
	7.	Who is responsible (position title) for disseminating information related to Medicaid billing Policy and changes or updates?
	8.	Does the local agency review accounts receivable report(s) on a monthly basis?  □ Yes □ No
	9.	What report(s) does the agency use to manage accounts receivable?  (List reports )

F. Billing/Accounts Receivable (continued)
<ul><li>10. Does the local agency make corrections based on the report(s) which are reviewed each month? □ Yes □ No</li></ul>
<ul><li>11. Does the local agency use a specific report to identify amounts due for bad debt write off?</li><li>□ Yes □ No</li></ul>
12. What report does the agency use to identify amounts due for bad debt write off (List report)
13. Does the local agency have a Bad Debt Write Off policy? ☐ Yes ☐ No
14. Does the agency policy include a method for aging client accounts? ☐ Yes ☐ No
15. Is the Bad Debt Write Off policy being followed? ☐ Yes ☐ No
<ul><li>Does the local agency use Debt Set Off as a means of collection of delinquent accounts?</li><li>□ Yes □ No</li></ul>
<ul><li>17. Does the local agency have a policy addressing utilization Debt Set Off?</li><li>□ Yes □ No</li></ul>
Revised 02/2016